



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO		
09/847,736	05/01/2001	Dan Sanchez	155695-203 6102			
75	590 10/07/2002					
Ben J. Yorks IRELL & MANELLA, LLP Ste 400 840 Newport Center Drive Newport Beach, CA 92660			EXAMINER			
			SCHOPFER, KENNETH G			
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
port beach	, 011 72000		3739			
			DATE MAIL ED: 10/07/2002	DATE MAIL ED: 10/07/2002		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

PTO-90C (Rev. 07-01)

		1			<i>R</i>			
	-,	Application No		Applicant(s)				
	Office Action Summers	09/847,736	09/847,736 SANCHEZ ET AL.					
	Office Action Summary	Examiner		Art Unit				
	The MAN INC DATE of the control of t	Kenneth G Scho		3739				
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication app or Reply	ears on the cove	r sheet with the c	orrespondence address				
I HE I - Exter after - If the - If NO - Failu - Any r	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Insions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.15 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. Period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply opened for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period re to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing and patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, how y within the statutory mix will apply and will expire	rever, may a reply be time nimum of thirty (30) days SIX (6) MONTHS from to the become ABANDONE	ely filed will be considered timely. he mailing date of this communic	ation.			
1)🖂	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 08 S	September 2002						
2a)□	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ Th	is action is non-f	inal.					
3) Dispositi	Since this application is in condition for allowal closed in accordance with the practice under a on of Claims	ince except for fo Ex parte Quayle,	ormal matters, pro 1935 C.D. 11, 45	osecution as to the meri 53 O.G. 213.	its is			
4)⊠	Claim(s) 1-24 is/are pending in the application							
,	4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>5-7 and 15-24</u> is/are v	withdrawn from o	consideration.					
5)	Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
6)⊠	Claim(s) <u>1-4 and 8-14</u> is/are rejected.		٠					
7)	Claim(s) is/are objected to.							
8)[Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	election require	ment.					
Application	on Papers							
9)[] 1	The specification is objected to by the Examiner	·.						
10)□ T	he drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)□ accep	ted or b)⊡ object	ed to by the Exam	niner.				
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the	drawing(s) be hel	d in abeyance. See	e 37 CFR 1.85(a).				
11) 🔲 T	he proposed drawing correction filed on	is: a) ☐ approve	ed b) 🔲 disapprov	ed by the Examiner.				
_	If approved, corrected drawings are required in rep	•	tion.					
12)[_] T	he oath or declaration is objected to by the Exa	aminer.						
Priority u	nder 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120							
13) 🗌 .	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	priority under 35	U.S.C. § 119(a)-	-(d) or (f).				
a)[☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:			•				
	1. Certified copies of the priority documents	have been rece	ived.					
:	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No							
;	3. Copies of the certified copies of the priori application from the International Buree the attached detailed Office action for a list of	ty documents ha eau (PCT Rule 1	ve been received 7.2(a)).	in this National Stage				
14) 🗌 Ad	cknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic	priority under 35	5 U.S.C. § 119(e)	(to a provisional applic	ation).			
a)	☐ The translation of the foreign language proveknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic	visional application	on has been recei	ived.	,			
Attachment(
2) Notice 3) Inform	of References Cited (PTO-892) of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) ation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) 2.	5) 🔲		PTO-413) Paper No(s) tent Application (PTO-152)	- :			
J.S. Patent and Tra PTO-326 (Rev.	4 . 4	ion Summary		Part of Paper N				

Application/Control Number: 09/847,736 Page 2

Art Unit: 3739

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

1. Claims 5-7 and 15-24 withdrawn from further consideration pursuant to 37 CFR

1.142(b), as being drawn to a nonelected species and invention, there being no allowable generic

or linking claim. Applicant timely traversed the restriction (election) requirement in Paper No. 4.

Information Disclosure Statement

2. The information disclosure statement filed July 17, 2002 fails to comply with 37 CFR 1.98(a)(2), which requires a legible copy of each U.S. and foreign patent; each publication or that portion which caused it to be listed; and all other information or that portion which caused it to be listed. It has been placed in the application file, but the information referred to therein has not been considered.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

3. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 4. Claims 1-4 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Dunn (USPN 5810712).
- 5. Referring to claims 1-4, Dunn teaches all of the limitations of these claims. Dunn teaches a medical system comprising:

Application/Control Number: 09/847,736

Art Unit: 3739

- a) a pivot arm with first and second joints at 50;
- b) a ring 12 coupled to the joints; and
- c) an adapter 14 with an aperture for receiving a surgical instrument 52, which is supported by the ring and has a flange 40 adjacent to an inner lip of the ring.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 6. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 7. Claims 8-11 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Dunn (USPN 5810712) in view of Wang et al. (USPN 5907664).
- 8. Referring to claims 8-11, Dunn teaches all of the limitations of these claims except for the robotic arm that can move the surgical instrument. Dunn teaches a medical system comprising:
 - a) a pivot arm with first and second joints at 50;
 - b) a ring 12 coupled to the joints; and
 - c) an adapter 14 with an aperture for receiving a surgical instrument 52, which is supported by the ring and has a flange 40 adjacent to an inner lip of the ring.

Wang et al. teach a medical system where a robotic arm is used to move a surgical instrument. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of invention that a robotic

Application/Control Number: 09/847,736

Art Unit: 3739

arm as in Wang et al. could have been used with the medical system of Dunn in order to manipulate the surgical instrument during a procedure.

- 9. Claim 12-14 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Dunn (USPN 5810712) in view of Wang et al. (USPN 5907664) as applied to claim 8 above, and further in view of Moore (USPN 4638799).
- 10. Referring to claims 12-14, the combined device of Dunn and Wang et al. teaches all of the limitations of this claim as described above except for the support arm assembly for supporting the pivot arm. Moore teaches a support assembly for a pivot arm having a table mount, an end effector coupled to the support arm and the pivot arm, and three pivotally connected linkages between the table mount and the end effector. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of invention that the combined device of Dunn and Wang et al. could have included an assembly as in Moore in order to properly support a surgical instrument during a procedure.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Kenneth G Schopfer whose telephone number is 703-305-2649. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F (8:00-5:30) First Friday Off.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Linda Dvorak can be reached on 703-308-0994. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are 703-872-9302 for regular communications and 703-872-9303 for After Final communications.

Application/Control Number: 09/847,736

Art Unit: 3739

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-308-1148

September 23, 2002

LINDA C. M. DVORAK SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER **GROUP 3700**